



Draft Meeting Minutes
Subcommittee on Law, Policy, and Legislation
February 3, 2023
Virtual Meeting
4:00 pm – 5:00 pm

Member Attendance (4/7)

Blair Levin (chair), Howard Feldman, Markus Rauschecker, and Joseph Morales

Staff Attendance

Hannibal Kemerer (Director, Legislative Affairs, Office of the Attorney General), Howard Barr (Assistant Attorney General & Principal Counsel, DoIT), and Dr. Greg von Lehmen (University of Maryland Global Campus, Assigned Staff to the Maryland Cybersecurity Council)

Meeting Summary

1. Mr. Levin welcomed the members and reviewed the agenda.
2. He called for the minutes of the June 10, 2022, meeting, which were approved unanimously without discussion by the members present.
3. He then turned to the final report of the Council's Ad Hoc Subcommittee on Consumer and Child Privacy and asked Dr. von Lehmen to provide an overview.
 - a. By way of background, Dr. von Lehmen noted that the subcommittee consisted of seven members and was chaired by then-Senator Susan Lee. As Council staff, he had been asked to produce the subcommittee's [report](#).
 - b. The subcommittee had held five public convenings between July and December of 2022. The convenings included presentations by more than 10 interested parties.
 - c. The report drew on those presentations while also relying on a substantial body of academic and other research. Altogether, the report made nine recommendations pertaining to consumer privacy in general and six concerning child privacy.
 - d. The report was adopted by the subcommittee at its December meeting to help inform privacy legislation in the 2023 session of the General Assembly.
 - e. Dr. von Lehmen reviewed each set of recommendations in the report. There being no questions, he concluded his review.
4. Mr. Levin then asked Dr. von Lehmen for an update on the legislation that the report intended to inform. Dr. von Lehmen understood that Delegate Love was expected to submit legislation that Senator Lee would have submitted. While he had not seen a draft of the bill, he noted that it would very likely resemble a law enacted by Connecticut in 2022 that captured many of the recommendations of the report.

Mr. Feldman asked whether the bill would include provisions similar to those of the [Biometric Information Privacy Act](#). Dr. von Lehmen stated that while he was aware of that statute and similar ones in other states, he did not know whether Delegate Love's bill would include similar provisions.

5. Mr. Levin asked if there was any other business for the subcommittee. There being none, the meeting was adjourned at 4:50 pm.